

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,809	11/24/2003	Jin Young Kim	6661-000021/US	3473	
30593 7.	590 09/27/2006	EXAMINER			
HARNESS, D P.O. BOX 8910	DICKEY & PIERCE,	CHACKO DAVIS, DABORAH			
RESTON, VA		ART UNIT PAPER NU			
,		1756			
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	oplication No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			0/718,809		KIM ET AL.				
			caminer		Art Unit				
			aborah Chacko-Da		1756				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>17 July 2</i>	2006.						
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
/	<i>,</i> —								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the app	olication.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□									
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 11</u> is/are rejected.								
7)									
8)□	•								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
a)ı		cuments ha	ive heen receive	d					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
See the attached detailed Onice action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
					•				
Attachment(s)									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		rview Summary (						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)				er No(s)/Mail Dat ice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>02/04</u> . 6) Other:									

Application/Control Number: 10/718,809 Page 2

Art Unit: 1756

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-2, and 11, in the reply filed on July 17, 2006, is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the technical feature of Group II is to use the composition according to claim 1 in a general process of forming a pattern of metal alloy or oxide thereof. This is not found persuasive because the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as forming a reflector coating composition. Claims 3-10, are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, and 11, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent No. 2001-221908 (Furuya) in view of U. S. Patent No. 6,184,403 (Welch et al., hereinafter referred to as Welch).

Furuya, in the abstract, discloses an organic metallic composition comprising the

claimed metal complexes, wherein the metallic complexes includes Ag, and Au and one of Cu or Ti or Ta, and that the metals in the metallic complex is further complexed with organic compounds.

The difference between the claims and Furuya is that Furuya does not disclose that the organic compound is the claimed neutral ligand. Furuya does not disclose that the organic-metal complex includes the anion recited in claims 1, and 11. Furuya does not disclose that the organic film complexed with the metal includes the neutral ligand recited in claim 2.

Welch, in the abstract, and in col 2, lines 15-67, and in col 3, lines 21-55, in col 4, lines 27-67, discloses that the organo metallic compounds includes a neutral metallic ligand such as NR<sup>9</sup>, wherein R<sup>9</sup> comprises an alkyl group, and a nitro or carboxylate anion.

Therefore, it would be obvious to a skilled artisan to modify Furuya by employing the organic ligands and anions suggested by Welch in the organo-metal complex because Welch, in col 1, lines 66-67, and in col 2, lines 1-4, discloses that the addition of the claimed ligands in the metal complex induces higher volatility in the organo metallic compound, and enables stability of the organo metal complex at the sublimation point, and retains desirable processing features.

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daborah Chacko-Davis whose telephone number is (571) 272-1380. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 - 6:00. If

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1756

attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark F Huff can be reached on (571) 272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

dcd

September 21, 2006.

JOHN A. MCPHERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER